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Big and Fast Graphs

• Social Media
  • Twitter: 600 Tweets/sec ≈ 200 billion Tweets/year

• Machine Generated Graphs as RDF triples

• Transportation
  • Wavetronix Sensors, 50KB/sec, 4-5GB/day
  • INRIX Sensors, 250KB/sec, 22GB/day
  • Image/Video data from Cameras
# A (Simplistic) Framework for Analyzing Large Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sequential</th>
<th>Parallel and Distributed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batch Processing</td>
<td>External Memory</td>
<td>Batch Parallel Algorithms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(store and process later)</td>
<td>Algorithms and Systems</td>
<td>Hadoop/Spark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MapReduce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-time Stream</td>
<td>Sequential Streaming Algorithms</td>
<td>Parallel &amp; Distributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing (process data now)</td>
<td>Algorithms</td>
<td>Streaming Algorithms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some CEP/Streaming Systems</td>
<td>IBM Infosphere Streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apache Spark Streaming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apache Flink</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A (Simplistic) Framework for Algorithms and Software for Analyzing Large Data

<table>
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<td><strong>Real-time Stream</strong></td>
<td><strong>Sequential Streaming Algorithms</strong></td>
<td><strong>Parallel &amp; Distributed Streaming Algorithms</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Processing</strong></td>
<td><strong>Sequential Streaming Algorithms</strong></td>
<td><strong>IBM Infosphere Streams</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(process data now)</td>
<td><strong>Some CEP/Streaming Systems</strong></td>
<td><strong>Apache Spark Streaming</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Apache Flink</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our Research

• Enumerating complete and large dense structures
  • maximal cliques, maximal bicliques

• Counting and Enumerating small dense structures
  • triangles, small-sized cliques

• Incomplete dense structures
  • quasi-clique, densest subgraph, etc
Our Research

• Enumerating complete and large dense structures
  • maximal cliques, maximal bicliques

• Counting and Enumerating small dense structures
  • triangles, small-sized cliques

• Incomplete dense structures
  • quasi-clique, densest subgraph, etc
Maximal Clique Enumeration (MCE)

• A clique C in a graph G=(V,E) is a subset of V such that there is an edge between each pair of vertices in C

• A clique C is maximal if it is not contained within any other clique in G

• Maximal Clique Enumeration Problem: Given an undirected graph G = (V,E), enumerate all maximal cliques
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Problem 1: Batch MCE from a Large Graph

• Challenges:
  • Graphs may be small and fit within memory of a single machine, but the number of structures can be large
  • Even moderate graphs, beyond the capacity of a single processor

• Approach:
  • Use parallel computing through Mapreduce (Hadoop or Spark)
    • Can be easily extended to a similar platform (Giraph)
  • Challenges:
    • How to divide into subproblems?
    • How to balance load across processors?
    • How to avoid redundant computations among processors?
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PECO: Parallel Enumeration of Cliques using Ordering
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Ideas for PECO Parallel MCE (1)

• Multiple subproblems processed in parallel, one per vertex
  • Done naively, can lead to severe skew in subproblem sizes
    (experiments show 400:1 skew in subproblem sizes)

• Total order among all vertices in the graph. Design a function “rank” such that: if \( u \) is part of more maximal cliques than \( v \), then \( \text{rank}(u) > \text{rank}(v) \)

• Load balancing: assign responsibilities for a vertex so that higher rank vertices are responsible for fewer maximal cliques they are part of
Ideas for PECO Parallel MCE (2)

• Each subproblem solved using a sequential Algorithm for MCE:
  • Based on DFS and Pivoting due to Tomita et al. (TTT 2006)
  • Avoid overlap among subproblems by using the ordering in conjunction with a variant of TTT

• Our Parallel Algorithm is work-efficient i.e. its total computation cost is equal to that of a sequential execution
Results on Parallel MCE (PECO)

- Mining Maximal Cliques from a Large Graph using MapReduce: Tackling Highly Uneven Subproblem Sizes
  Michael Svendsen, Arko Mukherjee, Srikanta Tirthapura
  Journal Parallel and Distributed Computing (Special Issue for Big Data), 79: pages 104-114, 2015
Problem 2: Dynamic MCE

Track Emerging (and Disappearing) dense structures in a large dynamic graph
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Subsumed Maximal Cliques
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New and Subsumed MaximalCliques

Track Emerging (and Disappearing) dense substructures in a large dynamic graph

(u,v,x) and (v,w,x) subsumed (u,v,w,x) has emerged
Dynamic MCE

Suppose we went from graph $G$ to graph $G+H$ through the addition of edge set $H$.

Let $N(G,G+H) =$ set of new cliques, and $S(G,G+H) =$ set of cliques that are subsumed.

1. **Magnitude of Change**: How large can $N(G,G+H)$, $S(G,G+H)$ be?
2. **Enumeration of Change**: How to enumerate $N$ and $S$ without enumerating $\text{Cliques}(G)$ and $\text{Cliques}(G+H)$?
3. **Can we enumerate in a change-sensitive manner** (time proportional to size of change)?
Our Results on Dynamic MCE (Magnitude)

Let $f(n)$ denote the maximum number of maximal cliques in a graph on $n$ vertices (Moon and Moser 1965 provide tight bounds on $f(n)$)

1. When a single edge is added to a graph, maximum change in maximal cliques can be as large as $c \cdot f(n)$ where $c > 1$
   • Bound is tight (for a single edge)

2. Near-tight results for arbitrary edge additions showing that the maximum change due in maximal cliques can be as large as $\cong 2f(n)$

3. Found an error in the 50 year old result of Moon and Moser on graphs containing the maximum number of maximal cliques
Results: Change Sensitive Enumeration Algorithm

Suppose $g(G,H)$ new maximal cliques were formed through the addition of edge set H to graph G. An algorithm to:

1. Enumerate new cliques in time $O(d^3m \ g(G,H))$
2. Enumerate subsumed cliques in time $O(d^22^m \ g(G,H))$

where $d$ is the maximum vertex degree in G, and $m$ is the number of edges

3. Experimental results show these are better than prior work (Stix 2004 and Ottosen-Vomlel 2010) by a factor of 1000
Results on Dynamic MCE

Triangle Sampling and Counting

• A triangle is a triple of vertices \((u,v,w)\) such that \(\{u,v\} \{v,w\}\) and \(\{u,w\}\) are all adjacent to each other

• **Problem #1**: Sample from the set of all triangles in a graph

• **Problem #2**: Count the number of triangles in a simple undirected graph
Neighborhood (Chain) Sampling

- Choose a random edge $r_1$ in the graph (using reservoir sampling on the entire stream of edges)

- Choose a random edge $r_2$, that appears after $r_1$, and is adjacent to $r_1$ (using reservoir sampling on substream decided by choice of $r_1$)

- See if triangle defined by $r_1, r_2$ is completed by a third edge

- Produces a biased sample, but bias can be handled using rejection sampling
Streaming Graph Sampling and Applications

• Presented a fast and accurate method (Neighborhood Sampling) to count triangles in a Streaming Graph
  • 100 times faster than previous methods
  • Relative error much smaller, using same memory

• Memory does not increase with the size of the graph, only with desired accuracy, and with graph structure

• Effective Use of Parallelism
  • Process a 167GB graph in 1000 seconds, on 12 core machine

* Counting and Sampling Triangles from a Graph Stream*
  A. Pavan, Kanat Tangwongsan, Srikanta Tirthapura, Kun-Lung Wu
  In Proc. 40th International Conference on Very Large Databases (VLDB) 2014

* Triangle Counting in a Massive Streaming Graph Using a Multicore Machine*
  Kanat Tangwongsan, A. Pavan, and Srikanta Tirthapura
  in Proc. ACM Conference of Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM) pages 781—78
Other Structures / Extensions

- **Enumerating Maximal Bicliques from a Large Graph using MapReduce**
  Arko Mukherjee and Srikanta Tirthapura
  IEEE Transactions on Services Computing (to appear)

- Combining Parallel and Streaming in Enumeration
  Prior work on counting small dense structures (triangles and relatives)
  **Parallel Triangle Counting in Massive Streaming Graphs**
  Kanat Tangwongsan, A. Pavan, Srikanta Tirthapura
  Proc. ACM Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM)
Conclusion

• Algorithms for Enumerating the Change in the set of complete dense structures in a dynamic graph
  • Bounds on the Magnitude of Change
  • Change-Sensitive Algorithms

• Work-Efficient Parallel Algorithms for enumerating dense structures from a large graph

• Orders of magnitude speedup compared to prior work
Questions for Future Research

• Maximal Cliques
  • Improved Change-Sensitive Algorithms for Dynamic Clique Enumeration
• Other Structures (especially incomplete dense structures)
• Uncertain/noisy graphs
• Parallel combined with Streaming
• Counting without Enumerating